

We Must Choose Between Our Lady of Fatima's Plan for Peace vs. the New World Order Tyranny

by John Vennari

Note: This is an excerpt from a speech given in Cleveland on June 6, 2009. Mr. Vennari explains the many threats we face on every front, and that only obedience to Our Lady of Fatima can save us.

One of the most powerful driving forces that will help to hasten the arrival of some form of the anti-Christian New World Order, is the present occupier of the United States White House, Barack Hussein Obama.

During the 2008 election, even pro-abortion Mayor Rudy Giuliani complained that Obama was *the most left-wing Senator* in the entire U.S. Senate. Today, Obama enjoys the title of President of the United States.

Obama is essentially a left-wing radical, he is a globalist, and he is one of the most (if not *the* most) pro-abortion political leaders on the planet. I think he is capable of anything because, from what I can see, Obama's first allegiance is to Obama.

In a January 5 interview of CNBC on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, Kissinger, speaking of Obama and the current economic crisis, said, **"I think that his task will be to develop an overall strategy for America in this period, when really a 'new world order' can be created. It's a great opportunity. It isn't such a crisis."**¹

Likewise, on January 12, 2009, Henry Kissinger published in the *International Herald Tribune*, an article blatantly headlined, **"The Chance for a New World Order"**.²

Kissinger is saying it openly. As far as he is concerned, he has nothing to fear. And there was certainly no outrage from the mainstream media over this statement.

So, what are some of the

goals of this New World Order?

Those who study the one-world group called The Bilderbergers — such as Daniel Estulin who has been watching the Bilderbergers for more than 15 years — lists some of their goals:

- Dissolve national hegemonies and create a super state (or super states);
- Centralize control of the people—eliminate the middle class, leaving only rulers, their helpers and workers (economic crunches really shear the middle class);³
- De-industrialize industrialized nations, except for computer and service industries, by moving industries to Third World, non-unionized countries (this makes the strong industrialized nations much weaker);
- Reduce human populations around the globe (the Rockefellers have been involved with this for a long time — abortion and the promotion of unnatural sins among all the people help to fulfill this goal);
- Centralize control of all education;
- Create a state of perpetual imbalance by manufactur-

ing crises artificially and then “managing” such crises, which confuses and demoralizes the population;

- Create one legal system, through the International Court of Justice as the sole legal system for the world;
- Empower the UN to bring nations under control, and to work toward a Global UN Tax.

One of the main aims of the New World Order is to erode the national sovereignty of nations in favor of an international world government.

In fact, David Rockefeller, the super-wealthy one-world elitist, brazenly admits this. Here’s is what David Rockefeller said about himself on page 405 of his own *Memoirs*:

“Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as ‘internationalists’ and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure — one world, if you will. **If that’s the charge, I stand guilty, and am proud of it.**”⁴

In Rockefeller’s new world

In early January, the globalist Henry Kissinger expressed on more than one occasion his hope that Obama may help to usher in a “New World Order”.



order, the one true Triune God has no place.

And again, the life-long globalist Henry Kissinger states openly his hope that Barack Obama will help to usher in a New World Order.

So because of this, it is important to examine some of the main goals of the Obama Administration. Everything he does is in line with the plans of the New World Order.

Obama's agenda is socialist, globalist, communist, and as one secular commentator noted, fascist.⁵ The Democrat/Obama agenda is anti-life and anti-Christ.

The most tragic part of

what I'm about to present is: this was known prior to the election. Anyone who had 25% of a working brain could have found this out before November 4, 2008. But the majority chose not to. They bought the media image. They swallowed whole the empty slogans "Change" and "Yes We Can!"

It is clear that Obama is beholden to the most radical special-interest groups. These include Planned Parenthood; other pro-abortion forces; pro-euthanasia billionaire George Soros who heavily backed Obama;⁶ and the powerful (what I will call) Sodom and Gomorrah lobby.

The Sodom and Gomorrah lobby campaigned wildly for Obama, for they saw in him the man to fulfill their agenda.

Fiercely Pro-Abortion

Obama is by far the most pro-abortion politician in the country, perhaps in the entire world. Planned Parenthood gives him a 100% voting record. In 2007 he promised Planned Parenthood he would sign the Freedom of Choice Act, the most militant pro-abortion bill in US history.

He is now trying to overturn the conscience-protection that safeguards health care workers who object to abortion. George Bush enacted this conscience-protection just before he left office, and Obama targeted this from his first days in the White House. If Obama succeeds, health care workers who are opposed to abortion will either have to cooperate with abortion, or quit the profession altogether.

Even Cardinal George, the present head of the United States Council of Catholic Bishops, released a video stating publicly that the removal of the conscience clause for

health-care workers is a form of despotism.⁷

Obama publicly supports infanticide; that if a baby somehow survives an abortion, then doctors — according to Obama — should not save the baby's life. The baby should be set aside to die. This was even more radical than a number of rabid pro-abortion politicians such as Barbara Boxer.⁸

Of course, every important pick of Obama for his Washington team has been pro-abortion:

- Rep. Rahm Emanuel for White House Chief of Staff. Emanuel (0% pro-life voting record according to National Right to Life);
- Sen. Tom Daschle as his first pick for Health and Human Services Secretary (pro-abortion);
- former NARAL legal director Dawn Johnsen;
- Ellen Moran, the former director of the pro-abortion group Emily's List as his White House communications director;
- Hillary Clinton as the Secretary of State (ferociously pro-abortion);
- Jeanne Lambrew, appoint-

ed deputy director of the White House Office of Health Reform. (Planned Parenthood is “excited” about the selection);

- Virginia Gov. Tim Kaine as the chairman of the Democratic Party (pro-abortion);
- Thomas Perrelli, the lawyer who represented Terri Schiavo’s husband Michael in his efforts to kill his disabled wife, as the third highest attorney in the Justice Department;
- David Ogden as Deputy Attorney General, (pro-abortion);
- Elena Kagan to serve as Solicitor General, (pro-abortion);
- On February 28, Obama nominated pro-abortion Kathleen Sebelius to become Secretary of Health and Human Services, who was confirmed in early May during the “Swine Flu” scare.⁹

Saul Alinsky

Now there is something crucial for you to know if you want to understand Barack Obama.

Obama was a disciple of the methods of the radical left-wing organizer, Saul Alinsky (who died in 1972). I have an

extended lecture on the subject of Alinsky and will not repeat it all here.¹⁰

Saul Alinsky’s most popular book was *Rules for Radicals*, and Alinsky dedicated this book to the devil.

Alinsky formed the Industrial Areas Foundation in Chicago to train left-wing radicals in radical tactics. In the 1980s, Barack Obama not only spent four years learning and working the tactics of Alinsky as a community organizer in Chicago, but became so proficient in the tactics that he taught the Alinsky workshops himself.¹¹

The two foundational principles of Saul Alinsky are:

1) Dogma is the enemy of mankind (all truth is relative). “For the organizer”, Alinsky says, “truth to him is relative and changing. *Everything* to him is relative and changing.”¹² He says the organizer “does not have any fixed truth”, and that the community organizer is in a superior position because he is “free from the shackles of dogma.”¹³

2) Alinsky believed and taught *as a principle* that there is no such thing as a fixed morality or ethic. He said, “**Ethical standards must**

be elastic to stretch with the times.”¹⁴ The end justifies the means.

As a proponent of naturalism, Alinsky was thoroughly *anti-supernatural*. For Alinsky, succeeding in *this world* is all that mattered.

Alinsky also laid out 13 rules for radicals (which I don't have time to cover)¹⁵ and he laid down other central principles such as:

- appeal to the person's self-interests;
- it is crucial for the radical not to look like a radical;
- radicals must get heavily involved in the political process;
- when the radicals are stronger than the opposition, they must *crush the opposition*. (That's found on page 150 of Alinsky's 1946 book, *Reveille for Radicals*.)

There is a lot about Alinsky that I don't have time to talk about, but in order to understand Barack Obama, you must understand that he is a devoted disciple of Alinsky.

Mike Kruglik, one of the Chicago teachers of the Saul Alinsky method — and one of the direct descendents of Alinsky — said that in his 10

years of training organizers, Obama was the best student he ever had.¹⁶

And Obama himself says that even though he was schooled in Indonesia, in Columbia and at Yale, he says that his years as an Alinsky-styled community organizer was the best education of his life.¹⁷

This means Barack Obama is a man who:

- dedicated himself to Alinsky's principle that "Dogma is the enemy of mankind," there is no fixed truth, all truth is relative.
- dedicated himself to Alinsky's principle that there is no such thing as an objective rule of ethics — the end justifies the means. "*Ethics must be elastic to stretch with the times*". And if for Obama there is no objective rule of ethics, then we really can't believe anything he says.

Obama has imbibed into himself Alinsky's 13 "rules for radicals" as well as Alinsky's other main points such as: always appeal to the person's self-interest; it is crucial for the radical not to *look* like a radical, and when the radicals come to power, they



Saul Alinsky, the radical left-wing organizer from Chicago, taught his “community organizers” two basic principles: 1) there is no such thing as dogma; 2) there is no fixed rule of ethics, “ethics must be elastic to stretch with the times” — the end justifies the means.

must *crush the opposition*.

If you don't understand Alinsky, you'll never understand Obama!

Shred the Constitution

Obama favors the effective destruction of the U.S. Constitution.

Now we all know the U.S. Constitution is imperfect. It is a document that nowhere mentions Jesus Christ (Who said, “Without Me, you can do nothing”), and is ultimately a document of naturalism in that it appeals to no higher authority than itself. But Obama and his people in Washington want to shred the Constitution to make everything far worse.

In a 2001 radio interview, Obama argued the Warren

Court, which started a long line of activists' decisions in a number of areas, did not go far enough:

- 1) it failed to bring about “re-distributive change” and a “redistribution of wealth”;
- 2) it failed to, in Obama's words, “*break free from the essential constraints that were placed by the Founding Fathers in the Constitution*”.¹⁸

Elsewhere, Obama had this to say:

“Ultimately, I have to side with Justice Breyer's view of the Constitution — that it is *not a static bur rather a living document*, and must be read in the context of the ever-changing world.”¹⁹

In short, Obama belongs

to the clique of doctrinal liberals who basically say, “the only law of the land should be what we say it is at the moment,” which is a formula for tyranny — and also in line with the goals of the New World Order (national constitutions subservient to international treaties and laws).

Even the secular press is noting Obama’s disregard for the rule of law. The *Washington Post* recently spoke of the Obama Administration’s “tincture of lawlessness.”²⁰

Education and “Health Care”

A good part of the so-called Stimulus (which should be called the “stick-up”) will go to a doubling of Federal money spent on education. This means a doubling of Federal control of education. We can expect an even more godless and radical agenda in the public school classrooms than what we have seen already.

And here it is revealing to note what the foul-mouthed Rahm Emanuel had to say about these leftist and socialist plans (paid for with our money) that the Obama administration now pushes through. The *Washington Post*’s Charles Krauthammer quotes Rahm

who says, “*You never want a serious crisis to go to waste*” [i.e., the economic crisis]. Rahm celebrates, “*This crisis provides the opportunity for us to do things that you could not do before.*”²¹

In other words, the economic crisis gives the radical Obama administration a golden opportunity — in the name of “addressing the crisis” — of achieving radical goals that may not have been accepted otherwise.

And this merely echoes what Henry Kissinger said, “a new world order can be created. *It’s a great opportunity. It isn’t such a crisis.*”

This brings us to another part of the so-called Stimulus that calls for massive “health care reform”; which will socialize medical care, give government control over access to medical treatment; and set up surveillance over online medical records for every American.

On this point, Phyllis Schlafly notes, “There can be no patient privacy with a national database of medical records because government, insurers, employers, ex-spouses and hijackers will find ways to access it.” It can also deliver to bureaucrats in

Washington the power to decide the procedures that are “clinically effective”, which could easily be used to *nationalize* the health care industry and *rationalize* health care.²²

It is worth noting the government has *no business* being in health care. The principle of subsidiarity holds that what can be done by the lower should not be done by the higher. The only time government should intervene is to remove an impediment in the health-care process. Once it removes the obstacle, then government should step out of the way.

Government control of health care, especially in the present climate of euthanasia and assisted suicide (strongly backed by billionaire George Soros who bankrolled Obama), is ominous. It could give the government the final decision of who receives health care and who does not; who lives and who dies.

I don't think this is an unreasonable concern. We already live in an abortion culture, which holds the killing of an innocent and defenseless human being as a legitimate solution to a problem. If killing of the unborn is now tolerable, the killing of the

elderly, the terminally-ill and the “unproductive” could also become socially acceptable. We see the early stages of this in today's “right-to-die”, assisted suicide legalization now being approved in state after state. And as moral theologian Msgr. William Smith often warned, “the *right* to die will soon become the *duty* to die.”²³

This corresponds to the goal of the New World Order — abortion, legalized suicide, population control. Human life means nothing to these godless social engineers.

Globalism and the UN

Obama is clearly a globalist. In Germany, prior to his election, Obama declared himself a “citizen of the world” to thousands of adoring Germans.²⁴

Being a globalist, he is a firm supporter of turning over many aspects of US sovereignty to the United Nations.

He supports the *UN Law of the Sea Treaty*, rejected by Ronald Reagan in 1982, which gives the International Seabed Authority total regulatory jurisdiction over all the world's oceans and all the mineral riches on the ocean

floor.

He supports the new *Global Warming Treaty*, which would force dramatic reductions in our use of energy for that colossal fraud known as “man-made global warming”.²⁵ These “climate change” initiatives are ultimately a means of impoverishing us, and of introducing government control into the smallest aspects of our lives; even perhaps monitoring our trash cans to see if it reveals our use of non-energy friendly products.²⁶

Obama’s “cap and trade”, as well as his “Climate Change” legislation may accomplish many of the same goals, even without a UN document.²⁷

The economic burden these new “climate change” laws will impose will help to fulfill the long-range goal of the New World Order to wipe out the middle class. Phyllis Schlafly writes, “A new report by the respected Tax Foundation found that cap and trade would impose an annual burden of \$144.8 billion per year on U.S. households.”

Obama himself said that his energy policies will wipe out the coal industry. He said that only a few days before

the election and the country *STILL* voted him the winner. The American public has never been as lobotomized as it is now!

Obama supports the *UN Treaty for Women*, which will force the radical feminist agenda on nations worldwide, and foster “the elimination of any stereotyped concept of the roles of men and women”; and to promote even more abortion on demand. (It has already singled out Mother’s Day as a stereotype that must be eradicated). It also has as its goal the setting up of a federal “network of child-care facilities.”

Obama also supports the *UN Convention for the Rights of the Child*, signed by Clinton in 1995 but never ratified by the Senate. This treaty would give children “rights” independent from their parents; including how and where the child is educated (the child has the final say); what religion the child chooses; and give “rights” to receive information of any kind through the “media of the child’s choice.” The only people qualified to raise children, in effect, are government agencies and UN experts. It drives a wedge between parent and

child, and assumes that the *State* is the real authority over your child, not you.²⁸

Economic Fascist

We can only touch briefly on the massive train-wreck Obama is now making of the economy. Obama's catastrophe-in-the-making is so serious that economic forecaster Gerald Celente predicts a massive depression, worse than the 1930s: vacant malls, food riots, commercial real estate collapse; massive unemployment; civil unrest; triple the present unemployment; and a tax revolution by 2012.²⁹

The most troubling aspect about Celente's latest warning is his history of accurate predictions. He has forecast economics since 1980, and was one of the only trends analysts to foresee everything from the stock market collapse of 1987 to the busting of the Real Estate bubble in 2008.

The taxes and inflation (or deflation) we may be facing due to Obama's disastrous "let's spend our way out of the recession" program is horrifying to consider.³⁰ Even the Prime Minister of the Czech Republic — up until

recently the President of the EU — condemned Obama's economic plan as the "road to hell".³¹

Jerry Doyle, columnist and talk-show host, describes Obama as an economic fascist, and he is careful to use the explosive word "fascist" clinically and correctly. Doyle carefully defines his terms. He writes:

"Economic fascism can be defined as government control over the four P's: Product, Price, Profit Margin and People. When the government controls the *product* created by the market, when it controls the *price* structure for product and company securities, when it controls how much *profit* particular companies can make, and when it controls the *people* who are hired and fired, economic fascism reigns supreme."

Doyle says Obama's economic policy fulfills the meaning of "economic fascism" in every way. He gives the example of the government's recent handling of Chrysler wherein Obama 1) stated that Chrysler's *products* had to be revamped, and that he knew best how to do it; 2) setting the *prices* on senior debt; 3)

announced that he would henceforth control the *profit* margin for Chrysler; 4) deciding that *the government* shall control the *people* who are hired and fired at America's largest companies.³²

Even the *Wall Street Journal* blatantly noted, "The Obama administration's behavior in the Chrysler bankruptcy is a profound challenge to the rule of law."³³

In light of all this, Pat Buchanan observed, "We are not 'headed down the road to socialism.' We're there!"³⁴

The eminent Thomistic philosopher Dr. Raphael Waters, in his course on Ethics, gives a number of sound arguments against Socialism — against the welfare state. The three primary arguments against Socialism are:

1) The function of government is to *govern* its citizens, not *replace* them;

2) The principle of subsidiarity: what can be done by the lower should not be done by the higher;

3) Every *step toward* dependence is a *step away* from independence.

Socialism (the welfare state), with the state controlling and intruding more and more in the details of our

lives, can only take away our freedom, and easily lead to tyranny.

Now, you can see that with all I've said about Obama, it's as if everything he does is a calculated effort to destroy the country. Perhaps it is no coincidence that *People's Weekly World*, the official newspaper of the Communist Party, USA, praised Obama as "the best opportunity in decades."³⁵

Again, in early January the globalist Henry Kissinger expressed on more than one occasion his hope that Obama may help to usher in a "New World Order." It seems that in Obama, the one-world elite think they may have found the charismatic figure — the persuasive sales agent — who can push their agenda across.



Notes: 1. "Kissinger Urges Obama to Build a 'New World Order'," William Jasper, *The New American*, Jan. 8, 2009. 2. *Ibid.* 3. "Is another Sheering on the Way?", Christopher S. Bentley, *The New American*, June 20, 2008 (Internet posting date). 4. *Memoirs*, David Rockefeller [New York: Random House, 2003], p. 405. [Emphasis added] 5. "Can Obama be Called an Economic Fascist?", Jerry Doyle, *Human Events*, May 4, 2009. 6. "George Soros' Liberal Agenda Will Carry Weight In Obama Presidency", Rowan Scarborough, *Human Events*, November 5, 2009. Mr. Scarborough explains the Soros agenda includes: "legalize gay marriage and euthanasia, and

make abortion more available. He funds groups that claim they are Catholics for choice; Legalize illegal drugs, or at least reduce the penalties. Soros believes crack cocaine dealers are victims because powder cocaine sellers receive shorter prison sentences. They are typically white, while crack users are black, he says; give felons the right to vote and end the death penalty; new rights for illegal aliens; an end to U.S. global supremacy." 7. "Cardinal George warns Obama against moving U.S. towards despotism," *Catholic News Agency*, March 17, 2009. 8. *The Case Against Barack Obama*, David Fredesso, [Washington: Regnary, 2008] pp. 194-199. 9. For an even more complete listing that is constantly updated, see "President Barack Obama's Pro-Abortion Record: A Pro-Life Compilation", Lifesite news. 10. See "The Alinsky-Obama Connection", J. Vennari, *Catholic Family News*, May and June issues, 2009. 11. "The Agitator: Barack Obama's Unlikely Political Education", Ryan Lizza, *The New Republic*, March 19, 2007. 12. *Rules for Radicals*, pp. 10-11. [emphasis added in the original] 13. *Ibid.*, p. 11. 14. *Ibid.*, p. 32. 15. Covered in detail in "The Alinsky-Obama Connection", J. Vennari, *Catholic Family News*, May and June issues, 2009. 16. "For Clinton and Obama, A Common Ideological Touchstone", Peter Slevin, *Washington Post*, March 25, 2007. (Hillary Clinton was also a student of Alinsky tactics.) 17. "The Agitator", Ryan Lizza. 18. "Obama's 'New World Order'", *Phyllis Schlafly Report*, March, 2009. 19. *The Audacity of Hope*, Barack Obama [New York: Vintage Books, 2006], p. 108. [emphasis added] 20. "Tincture of Lawlessness: Obama's Overreaching Economic Policies", George Will, *Washington Post*, May 14, 2009. 21. *The Audacity of Hope*, p. 108. [emphasis added] 22. "Obama's 'New World Order'", Schlafly. 23. In related news, "Physicians in Montana could be facing 'kill-on-demand' orders from patients who want to commit suicide if a district court judge's opinion pending before the state Supreme Court is affirmed." See "Doctors face orders to 'kill on demand'—New assisted

suicide law requires physicians to act", Bob Unruh, *WorldNetDaily*, May 2, 2009. 24. "Obama Casts Self as World Citizen, But Will It Play in America?", *Fox News*, July 24, 2008. 25. "31,000 Scientists Reject 'Global Warming' Agenda", Bob Unruh, *WorldNewDaily*, May 19, 2008. 26. The *Drudge Report* recently posted a news item showing this type of surveillance going on in certain parts of England. 27. Phyllis Schlafly correctly writes that cap and trade is just a different way of levying tax. She writes, "A new report by the respected Tax Foundation found that cap and trade would impose an annual burden of \$144.8 billion per year on U.S. households. Depending on how the process is structured, cap and trade could reduce household earnings by \$37.8 billion, decrease U.S. employment by 965,000 jobs and reduce economic output by \$136 billion per year, or roughly \$1,145 per household." See "Don't Fall for 'cap and trade'", Phyllis Schlafly, *WorldNetDaily*, May 13, 2009. 28. "Obama's 'New World Order'", Schlafly. See the entire newsletter, as Mrs. Schlafly covers these topics (and more) in depth. 29. Numerous interviews of Celente with various news agencies (*Fox*, etc.) are found on YouTube. See also Gerard Celente's website, www.trendsresearch.com. 30. See Dr. Peter Chojnowski's "The Dollar as Toxic Asset", *Catholic Family News*, June, 2009. 31. The head of the European Union [Czech Prime Minister Mirek Topolane] slammed President Barack Obama's plan to spend nearly \$2 trillion to push the U.S. economy out of recession as "the road to hell" that EU governments must avoid. "EU presidency: US stimulus is 'the road to hell,'" *Associated Press*, March 25, 2009. 32. "Can Obama be Called an Economic Fascist?", Jerry Doyle, *Human Events*, May 4, 2009. [emphasis added] 33. "Chrysler and the Rule of Law: The Founders put the contracts clause in the Constitution for a reason", *Wall Street Journal*, May 13, 2009. 34. "It's Pitchfork Time", Pat Buchanan, March 3, 2009. 35. "Communists: Obama 'best opportunity in decades'", Aaron Klein, *WorldNetDaily*, March 1, 2009.